

TWO STYLES WORKSHEET

© Mark Baumann, 2013

PAT CRITTENDEN, Adult attachment perspective: Dynamic Maturational Model of Attachment and Adaptation, from <i>Assessing Adult Attachment: A Dynamic-Maturational Approach to Discourse Analysis</i> (2011)		
Type A, Cognitive	<u>Significant issues</u>	Type C, Affective
Regulates emotions by denying them, handles things alone Hypervigilant to negativity by others	Affect	Affectively organized self-protection strategies Needs others to regulate emotions
Cognitively organized self-protective strategies Sequencing and rules relied on but oversimplified Feelings denied, relies on logic Past mechanically controls future	Cognition	Sequencing and connections between things avoided or falsified
False idea/conclusion Own contributions to cause disconnected	Experience leads to Causation of relational problems	Logic unpredictable, relies on feeling Past intertwined with and unresolved in present No idea/conclusion Own contributions to cause unconnected
Rigidly If-Then rule based Few details Intellectualized descriptors	Memory of meaning	Chaotic, confused, blurred, but rich Too many details Hyperbolic descriptors
Idealized, & events begin from self centered & artificial view point	Memory of events	Incoherent recollection of past events, often blended into current situation
Change is futile Detailed plans	Future	Optimistic, idealized No clear plan
Arouses logical sensibilities in listener Thin factual elements in stories Memories about meaning are distorted and idealized Details of past context (times, places, feelings...) are thin Integration of cognitive and affective experience is superficial	Narratives	Arouses feeling in listener Optimistic, but few plans Easily changeable
	TYPE AC	Excessive detail, misses main points Meaning is associated with feelings, conveyed with feeling, nonverbals Past context rich with images
	Mixed styles, Extremely deceitful	

IAIN MCGILCHRIST, bi-lateral neo-cortex perspective: *The Master and his Emissary; The Divided Brain and the Search for Meaning* (2010); *Reciprocal Organization of the Cerebral Hemispheres* (2010) (“hemispheric Utilization Bias”)

Left Hemisphere		Right Hemisphere
<p>Connected internally, not with right brain nor with the body</p> <p>Linear, sequential Fixity Narrow things down to a certainty logical, focused on details to the exclusion of bigger picture Sharply focused on details Literal Sees parts & categories of parts, but not a “whole” individual Re-presents the world (in touch only with represented not real world) Abstracts from invariant re-presentations of objects/world Precise Preference for inanimate and man-made things Linguistic, verbal, able to describe with increasing detail In the service of manipulation Cannot find meaning in the parts, but finds a conclusion</p>	<p>Physical differences</p> <p>Attentional focus</p>	<p>Right hemisphere deeply interconnected the body</p> <p>Curvilinear, non-sequential Flow Open up possibilities Emotional/relational, to the exclusion of the details and solutions Broad, open, vigilant Figurative, metaphorical Sees a “whole,” but not the parts or categories Presences us to the world (in touch with real world) Creates a “whole” from the context rather than rules Intuitive Preference for animate and natural/ environmental things Nonverbal (but makes a “whole” from words) In the service of connection Finds a meaning, not the parts, but not a conclusion</p>
<p>Predictability Division Parts Abstraction (re-representation) Categories Nonliving</p>	<p>Top 6 Differences vs.</p>	<p>Possibility Integration Whole Context Individuals Living</p>